Agnel Charities'



SAMPLE TEMPLATES OF FEEDBACK FORMS



Agnel Charities'



Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

ogram Name :							
ogram Name :	Year/Semester : Roll Number :						
ime of the Student :							
ase rate your valuable feedback o programme.	n the curriculu	un for review of syllabus / to improve quality o					
Rate how challenging was the syllab	us offered by th	e courses.					
OExcellent OVery Good O Goo	d OAverage	O Poor					
Rate the appropriateness of the sequ	ence of the cour	ses provided in the curriculum.					
OExcellent OVery Good O Goo	d OAverage	O Poor					
Rate the depth of the syllabus of the industry/current global scenarios.	courses in relat	ion to the competencies expected by					
OExcellent OVery Good O Goo	d OAverage	O Poor					
Rate the sequence of the units/modu	les in the course	s.					
OExcellent OVery Good O Goo	d OAverage	O Poor					
5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books mentioned for the courses							
OExcellent OVery Good O Goo	d OAverage	O Poor					
Rate the syllabus content of the cour	ses in terms of l	our den on the students.					
OExcellent OVery Good O Goo	d OAverage	O Poor					
Rate the design of the courses in terr	ms of extra learn	ning or self-learning .					
OExcellent OVery Good O Goo	d OAverage	O Poor					
Rate the flexibility in choosing the el	ectives in relatio	on to technology advancements.					
OExcellent OVery Good O Goo	d OAverage	O Poor					
Rate the percentage of the courses o	ffering LAB con	nponents.					
OExcellent OVery Good O Goo	d OAverage	O Poor					
Rate the composition of the courses Discipline core, discipline elective, o		c science, Engineering science, Humanities, oject etc.?					
OExcellent OVery Good O Goo	d OAverage	O Poor					
other suggestion(s):							
		<u> </u>					
e:		Signature of Student					



SAMPLE FORMS OF FEEDBACK COLLECTED



Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department: BEXTO		Academic year :	SH2DI8 2019 - Sem 5		
Program Name: BE	- EXTC	Year/Semester :			
Name of the Student :	RITOD VERMA	Roll Number :	301762		

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the programme.

th	e programm	e.			or symmetry to improve quanty or
1.	Rate how ch	allenging was t	he syllabus	offered by the	e courses.
		Overy Good			OPoor
2.	Rate the app	oropriateness o	f the seque	nce of the cour	ses provided in the curriculum.
	OExcellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	OPoor
3.	Rate the dep	oth of the syllab rrent global sce	us of the co	ourses in relati	on to the competencies expected by
	OExcellent	Overy Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor
4.	Rate the seq	uence of the un	its/module:	s in the course:	
		Overy Good			O Poor
5.	Rate the ade	quateness of th	e textbooks	and reference	books mentioned for the courses.
	O Excellent	OVery Good	Good	OAverage	O Poor
6.	Rate the syll	abus content of	the course	s in terms of b	urden on the students.
	O Excellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor
7.					ing or self-learning .
		Overy Good			O Poor
8.	Rate the flex	ibility in choosi	ing the elec	tives in relatio	n to technology advancements.
		OVery Good			O Poor
).	Rate the perc	centage of the c	ourses offe	ring LAB com	popents
	OUCOW!!	OVery Good		1.55	O Poor
	Rate the com Discipline co	position of the re, discipline el	courses in t	terms of Basic n elective, proj	science, Engineering science, Humanities,
	OExcellent	Overy Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor
	arran en	100			latest technological dovelor
ne	NATHER	bart of cons	SYLLABU STANT	S-for the UPDATION	latest technological develop- Subject, NOT FOR EXAMINATIONS N FOR STUDENTS! KNOWLEDGE - MEXTO.
Acres 4	and the sol	7 2 10			/V/AAA

Date: 10-08-2018

Signature of Student



Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi students feedback on curriculum

Department: Etectvical	Academic year: 2018-19
Program Name : BE-Flet	Year/Semester: 2nd / 3rd
Name of the Student : Mahesh Potday	Roll Number: Woldto

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the programme.

ш	ie programi	ne.						
1.	Rate how	challenging was	the syllabu	s offered by th	e courses.			
	OExcellen	t OVery Good	Good Good	OAverage	O Poor			
2.	Rate the ap	opropriateness o	of the seque	nce of the cour	rses provided in	the curriculum.		
		t OVery Good			O Poor			
3.	Rate the de	pth of the syllal irrent global sec	bus of the co	ourses in relati	ion to the compe	tencies expected	i by	
	O Excellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
4.	Rate the sec	quence of the ur	its/module	s in the course:	s,			
	O Excellent	OVery Good	10 Good	OAverage	O Poor			
5.	Rate the ad	equateness of th	e textbook	and reference	books mentione	d for the cours	es.	
		OVery Good			O Poor		337)	
	Rate the syl	labus content o	f the course	s in terms of b	urden on the stu	dents.		
- 1	O Excellent	OVery Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
2	Rate the des	ign of the cours	es in terms	of extra learni	ing or self-learni	ng.		
	O Excellent	O Very Good	O Good	OAverage	O Poor			
	Rate the flex	sibility in choosi	ing the elect	tives in relation	to technology a	dvancements.		
	144	OVery Good			O Poor			
	Rate the per	centage of the c	ourses offer	ring LAB comp	onents.			
		OVery Good		500	O Poor			
0.	Rate the con Discipline co	position of the ore, discipline el	courses in to	erms of Basic s	science, Engineer	ring science, Hi	imanities,	
-		OVery Good			O Poor			
ny	other sugg	estion(s):	advo	inte le	Chnology	should	intlude	1
1	n syll	abus.	4		-		The state of the s	

Date: 12-12-18

Signature of Student



Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, Vashi STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department : COMPUTER (ENGINECING	Academic year:				
Program Name : BE Comp	stee for over me	Vanife				
Name of the Student : Yeshin	CER SINGH SHI	Roll Number				
Please rate your valuable feed the programme.	back on the curriculu	m for review of syllabus / to improve quality				
1. Rate how challenging was the	syllabus offered by the	courses.				
OExcellent OVery Good	O Good OAverage	O Poor				
2. Rate the appropriateness of t	he sequence of the cour	ses provided in the curriculum				
OExcellent OVery Good	O Good OAverage	O Poor				
	of the courses in relation	on to the competencies expected by				
OExcellent OVery Good	Good OAverage	O Poor				
4. Rate the sequence of the units						
OExcellent OVery Good	Good OAverage	O Poor				
		books mentioned for the courses.				
OExcellent OVery Good	Good OAverage	O Poor				
6. Rate the syllabus content of the						
OExcellent OVery Good	Good OAverage	O Poor				
. Rate the design of the courses						
OExcellent OVery Good		O Poor				
. Rate the flexibility in choosing						
OExcellent OVery Good	Good OAverson	to technology advancements.				
Rate the percentage of the cou						
OExcellent Overy Good C		O Poor				
 Rate the composition of the con Discipline core, discipline elect 	arses in terms of Basic s tive, open elective, proje	cience, Engineering science, Humanities,				
OExcellent OVery Good O	Good OAverage	O Poor				
ny other suggestion(s):						

Date: 25/11/2018

Signature of Student

(1)

SUMMARY OF FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

Agnel Charities'

FR.C. RODRIGUES INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, VASHI

Summary of Students Feedback on Revised-2016 Curriculum

<u>Total number</u> of <u>students</u> <u>given feedback</u> :- 527

		Rating Percentage										
Sr.No	Details	No of students rated '5'	% of students	No of students rated '4'	% of students	No of students rated '3'	% of students	No of students rated '2'	% of students	No of students rated '1'	% of students	Average Percentage
1	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.	106	20%	183	35%	198	38%	26	5%	14	3%	73%
2	Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.	92	17%	184	35%	190	36%	42	8%	19	4%	71%
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.	84	16%	143	27%	210	40%	56	11%	34	6%	67%
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the courses.	94	18%	175	33%	209	40%	30	6%	19	4%	71%
5	Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books mentioned for the courses.	109	21%	188	36%	184	35%	25	5%	21	4%	73%
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of burden on the students.	122	23%	169	32%	195	37%	30	6%	11	2%	74%
7	Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.	88	17%	164	31%	190	36%	56	11%	29	6%	69%
8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements.	102	19%	135	26%	188	36%	56	11%	46	9%	67%
9	Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB components.	93	18%	185	35%	182	35%	45	9%	22	4%	71%
10	Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project etc.?	97	18%	168	32%	210	40%	31	6%	21	4%	71%